



**FURTHER SUBMISSION BY POWERCO LIMITED ON THE WHANGANUI
DISTRICT PLAN CHANGE 46- OTAMATEA WEST**

Further submission due 06 November 2017

To: Whanganui District Council
PO Box 637
101 Guyton Street
Whanganui
Email: Leayne.Huirua@whanganui.govt.nz

From: Powerco Limited ("Powerco")
Private Bag 2061
New Plymouth
(Note that this is not the address for service.)

ADDRESS FOR SERVICE: **GHD Limited**
 PO Box 1746
 Wellington 6140

Attention: Caitlin Kelly

Phone: 64 4 474 8748
Caitlin.Kelly@GHD.com

**Further submission on a Plan Change to the Whanganui District Plan
Clause 8 of Schedule 1 Resource Management Act 1991**

1. Powerco's further submissions are as contained in the attached Table.
2. Powerco has an interest in the proposed plan change greater than that of the general public as an infrastructure provider in the Whanganui District.
3. Powerco could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this further submission.
4. If others make a similar submission, Powerco may be prepared to consider presenting a joint case with them at any hearing.
5. Powerco **does wish to be heard** in support of this submission.

Dated at Wellington this 6th day of November 2017

Signature of person authorised to sign on behalf of Powerco Limited:



Caitlin Kelly

Attached: Table 1 – Further submission by Powerco Limited

Table 1 – Further Submission by Powerco Limited

Submission Reference and submitter	Submitter Details	Summary of Submission / relief sought by the submitter	Support or oppose the submission	Reasons for support or opposition	Decision Sought
006	R.B Chamberlain (Landowner: 12 Sandcroft Drive, Otamatea)	That the Plan Change extends the Residential Zone to the city boundary.	Oppose	<p>It is Powerco’s opinion that the relief sought by submitter is beyond the scope of the Plan Change and should be struck out. Extending the boundary of the Plan Change to the city boundary was not included in the notified Plan Change documents, and no assessment of the effects of the extension has been undertaken.</p> <p>Powerco also opposes the submission as there is insufficient detail provided to understand the effect of the additional development area on the existing electricity distribution infrastructure capacity in the area. Powerco requires more detail about the future development and the development timing to be able to plan for and accommodate the electricity distribution needs of the additional area sought by the submitter.</p>	Submission struck out
008	D.W.A Bennett (Landowner: 21 Sandcroft	Extend the Plan Change to land SW of properties on the eastern	Oppose	It is Powerco’s opinion that the relief sought by submitter is out of the scope of the Plan Change and should be struck out. Extending the boundary of plan	Submission struck out

	Drive, Otamatea)	side of Sandcroft Drive. Investigate the development potential out to Tayforth and Taylor Roads via an additional plan change process.		<p>change land southwest of properties on the eastern side of Sandcroft Drive was not included in the notified Plan Change documents, and no assessment of the effects of the extension has been undertaken. The request for an additional plan change is also beyond what be considered within the scope of this Plan Change.</p> <p>Powerco also opposes the submission as there is insufficient detail provided to understand the effect of the additional development area on the existing electricity distribution infrastructure capacity in the area. Powerco requires more detail about the future development and the development timing to be able to plan for and accommodate the electricity distribution needs of the additional area sought by the submitter.</p>	
010	G. Young (Landowner: 22 Sandcroft Drive, Otamatea)	That the Plan Change extends the Residential Zone to land adjacent to Sandcroft Drive.	Oppose	It is Powerco’s opinion that the relief sought by submitter is out of the scope of the Plan Change and should be struck out. Extending the boundary of the Plan Change to land adjacent to Sandcroft Drive was not included in the notified Plan Change documents, and no assessment of the effects of the extension has been undertaken.	Submission struck out

				<p>Powerco also opposes the submission as there is insufficient detail provided to understand the effect of the additional development area on the existing electricity distribution infrastructure capacity in the area. Powerco requires more detail about the future development and the development timing to be able to plan for and accommodate the electricity distribution needs of the additional area sought by the submitter.</p>	
--	--	--	--	--	--