

Summary of Submissions to Draft Castlecliff Coastal Reserve Management Plan				
Sub No	Submitter Name	Summary of submission	Officer Comments	Officers Recommendations/ Proposed Actions
Objectives				
1	Tania Pirikahu	1. Strongly agreed with objectives 1-5 and 7.	Submission points are noted and the support is appreciated.	<p>Accepted in part. The submissions are noted, and taken into consideration.</p> <p>No changes are made to the Plan as a result of these submissions. However, amendments to notified objectives are proposed as a result of other submissions received.</p>
2	James Barron Wishes to speak in support of submission.	1. Strongly agrees with objectives 2 and 4-7. 2. Agrees with objectives 1 and 3. 3. Neither agrees nor disagrees with objective 8.	For reference, the key objectives at the time of notification were;	
5	Philip Stokes	1. Strongly agreed with all objectives 1-8.	1. Recognise and protect the special relationship that Ngaa Rauru Kiitahi and Tupoho, as Tangata Whenua, have with this Reserve as a site of cultural and spiritual significance, and as a reference point for whānau/hapu/ iwi identity.	
6	Scott Redding	1. Strongly agreed with all objectives 1-8.	2. To continue to support an ongoing collaborative relationship with the Castlecliff community, in relation to the development and implementation of this reserve management plan.	
7	Anita Foord	1. Strongly agrees with objectives 1-4 and 5-8. 2. Agrees with objective 5.	3. Minimise adverse effects on the natural environment and potential conflicts between reserve users.	
9	Peter Watson	1. Strongly agreed with all objectives 1-8.	4. Ensure that reserve facilities are maintained and upgraded where necessary, to enable the community to continue to use and enjoy such facilities.	
26	Debby Papworth	1. Agreed with all objectives 1-8.	5. Clear signage is established and maintained throughout the Reserve that which assists in user orientation wayfinding and enhances educational opportunities informs people about in the natural, cultural and historical stories of the Reserve.	
Historical, Social and Cultural Values				
Kaitiakitanga				
4	Andy Morgan	1. Disagrees with objective 1 – recognising and providing for the special relationship that mana whenua have with the Reserve.	The Local Government Act 2002 requires Council to recognise the relationship of mana whenua with sites of significance, and ensures that such relationships are provided for and maintained.	Not Accepted. The submission is noted, and taken into consideration. On that basis, no change is proposed.
21	Rhona Vickoce	1. This important relationship- building is not noticeable at all in Castlecliff and in fact quite the opposite when it comes to public meetings i.e. almost no local Maori participation.	Council will continue to recognise and protect the special relationship that mana whenua have with the Reserve, as Indicated in Objective 1 of the Plan. Council will continue to engage with mana whenua on plans, strategies and policies such as this Plan.	Accepted. The submission is noted, and taken into consideration. On that basis, no change is proposed.

23	<p>Raukurawaihoe a Naani Waitai</p> <p>On behalf of Tamareheroto Hapu.</p> <p>Wishes to speak in support of submission.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Ngaa Rauru Kiihahi and Whanganui iwi have a special relationship with the coastal lands and ocean that predates by many centuries European settlement. This relationship is important to both the wellbeing of the whanau/hapu / iwi and the environment. • The wellbeing of the whanau/ hapu/ iwi is dependent on our relationship with these lands. • We acknowledge and welcome the stated intention to recognise and protect the special relationships that Ngā Rauru Kiihahi and Whanganui have with this area. In doing so WDC should actively provide opportunity and resource at governance, management and operational levels to these whanau/ hapu/iwi to make this intention true and meaningful. • No concrete indications on how the special relationships will manifest. • The draft plan would benefit from the specific commissioning from the two iwi a report on both cultural values and an assessment on potential cultural impacts. • Inaccuracies in narrative particularly regarding the arrival of Kupe. • Consultation with iwi/hapu has been lacking. • The draft plan would benefit from the specific linking to existing arrangements like the Te Matapihi ki Tangaroa Iwi Accord, particularly in terms of the values listed therein and the overall intention to protect the wellbeing of the coastal / marine environment. • Important that a consistency is seen across all WDC operations regarding values based / and relationship documents. • The draft plan mentions the intention to issue access permits. This requirement should not apply to whanau/hapu/ iwi of this area. Whilst support is given to the aspiration to reinstate the dune environment, restrictions on access and the need to ask permission to enter one's own lands does not enhance our iwi/ hapu/whanau rangatiratanga. • Further discussion with iwi/hapu is needed. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The cultural and historical information (particularly around the arrival of Kupe) cited in this Plan is derived from the 2005 Plan and reference to 'The Whanganui Story' by Smart and Bates 1972. It is acknowledged that any information about mana whenua should be provided by mana whenua. This is to be Council's position going forward. Accordingly, the cultural narrative in the Plan will be deleted. • Letters were sent to the four iwi authorities prior to the formal notification period, inviting comments on the draft Plan. No feedback on the draft Plan was received. As this is an overarching strategic Plan which does not that facilitate development, a cultural values/impact assessment is not appropriate or necessary. • It is noted that the Te Matapihi ki Tangaroa Iwi Accord principles take into account the need for the restoration and protection of the dune system. It is not intended that the Plan include objectives for this restoration. That will be done as a separate process, undertaken by the Councils Parks and Property Department in liaison with Horizons Regional Council. • As a result of the review, the permit system was deleted. 	<p>Accepted in part. The following changes are recommended:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Remove any historical cultural narrative taken from 'The Whanganui Story' by Smart and Bates 1972.
----	--	--	---	---

25	Nicola Patrick On behalf of Te Kahui o Rauru. Wishes to speak in support of submission.	1. The office supports the submission made by R N Waitai as a Tamareheroto hapū member.		
31	Graham and Lyn Pearson Wishes to speak in support of submission.	1. No mention of dye sites along the Karaka Stream 2. Believe that Tukutuku panels are woven, not carved.	1. Referring to the dye sites along the Karaka Stream, this information has not been provided to Council by local iwi. It is acknowledged that any information about historical cultural sites should be provided by mana whenua. This is to be Council's position going forward. 2. Reference to the weaving of Tukutuku Panels will be corrected in the Plan.	Accepted. The following change is proposed: <ul style="list-style-type: none">Remove the reference to carving in the sentence about Tukutuku Panels.
32	Graeme La Cock and Sara Treadgold On Behalf of DOC. Wishes to speak in support of submission.	1. In terms of Maori cultural interests, details are very light in this area, in particular the interest in cultural use and protection of the resources within the area.	This is noted. The information has not been provided to Council by mana whenua. It is acknowledged that any information about mana whenua should be provided by mana whenua. This is to be Council's position going forward.	Accepted. The submission is noted, and taken into consideration. On that basis, no change is proposed.
33	Hone Tamehana On behalf of Ngati Tumango ki Putiki Marae. Wishes to speak in support of submission.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Concerned that Ngati Tumango were not consulted with directly. Ngati Tumango has mana whenua not Tupoho or Nga Rauru Kiihahi. Concerned about who will be providing the cultural and historical stories of the reserve as some of the information in the Early Maori Occupation section of the plan is incorrect. Would like to see that mana whenua are consulted regarding any long term lease agreements in relation to the reserve. The plan states that Smart and Bates produced a map of pa sites - we would like to challenge this statement as they were fishing kainga not pa sites. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Letters were sent to the four iwi authorities prior to the formal notification period, inviting comments on the draft Plan. No feedback on the draft Plan was received. Council does not have the jurisdiction to arbitrate hapu/iwi relationships. Council notes and accepts that any future lease negotiations will be referred to mana whenua. The cultural and historical information (particularly around the arrival of Kupe) cited in this plan has come from the 2005 Plan and referenced to 'The Whanganui Story' by Smart and Bates 1972. It is acknowledged that any information about mana whenua should be provided by mana whenua. This is to be Council's position going forward. Accordingly, the cultural narrative in the plan will be deleted. 	Accepted in part. The following change is recommended: <ul style="list-style-type: none">Remove reference to the 'Map of Pa Sites' from Smart and Bates 1972.

37	John Maihi On behalf of Te Rūnanga o Tūpoho. Wishes to speak in support of submission.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Early Maori Occupation requires Whanganui Tangata Whenua update. 2. Areas are incorrect. 3. Statutory boundaries are defined in Iwi Settlement Deeds and confirm legislated tangata whenua. 4. Significant spiritual and cultural interest is not and cannot be determined as tangata whenua. 	<p>1 & 2. As part of the pre notification process, a letter detailing the process for providing a response before notification, and a copy of the Reserve Management Plan was sent to the CEO and Chairperson of the four iwi authorities, Te Rūnanga O Tūpoho, Ngaa Rauru Kiitahi, Te Rūnanga O Tamaupoko, and Te Rūnanga O Ngā Wairiki Ngāti Apa. The cultural and historical information (particularly around the arrival of Kupe) cited in this Plan has been derived from the 2005 Plan and referenced to 'The Whanganui Story' by Smart and Bates 1972. It is acknowledged that any information about mana whenua should be provided by mana whenua. This is to be Council's position going forward. Accordingly, the cultural narrative in the plan will be deleted.</p> <p>3. Council does not have the jurisdiction to arbitrate hapu/iwi relationships.</p> <p>4. Any reference in the Plan to 'tangata whenua' will be amended to 'mana whenua'. This will be the case for any future documents.</p>	<p>Accepted in part. The following change is recommended:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Amended any reference of 'tangata whenua' to 'mana whenua'.
38	Jill Sheehy On behalf of Ngā Paerangi Wishes to speak in support of submission.			
39	Ken Mair On behalf of Whanganui Land Settlement Negotiation Trust. Wishes to speak in support of submission.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The area is significant spiritually, culturally and historically. 2. Expect that this plan will not inhibit or undermine in any way whatsoever the aspirations and expectations of the local Hapu and Iwi. 	<p>Council will ensure that the objectives, policies and actions within this Plan are upheld, and it is not the intention of Council to inhibit or undermine the aspirations or expectations of local whānau/hapu/iwi.</p>	<p>Accepted. The submission is noted, and taken into consideration. On that basis, no change is proposed.</p>
Community Partnerships				
14	Tess Marryatt	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Thinks that the Council should work with community groups that have put in time already, as it will give better insight and hopefully make progress in a respectful manner. 	<p>The Council intends to continue working with community groups and building on established relationships. Council appreciates the significant time and work that community groups contribute. This is reflected in objective 3, which seeks to continue to support and enhance an ongoing collaborative relationship with the Castlecliff Community.</p>	<p>Accepted in part. The following changes are proposed:</p> <p>A minor amendment to Objective 3 is recommended as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 'To continue to support and enhance an ongoing collaborative relationship with the Castlecliff Community in relation to the development and
19	Robin Williamson	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Wants Council to maintain close communication with volunteer groups like Coast Care and Progress Castlecliff. 	<p>It is noted that there are additional community groups working within Castlecliff that should be acknowledged in the Plan.</p>	
32a	Graeme La Cock and Sara Treadgold	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. It would be worth highlighting the role of the two groups Castlecliff Coast Care and the Karaka Wetland Group. 		

	On behalf of DOC. Wishes to speak in support of submission.			implementation of this Reserve Management Plan’. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Amend policy 3.1 to include Castlecliff Coast Care and the Karaka Wetland Group. .
31a	Graham and Lyn Pearson Wishes to speak in support of submission.	1. Coastcare is not specifically mentioned, and should be.		
36	Des Warahi On behalf of Castlecliff Community Charitable Trust.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1 We support the special relationship with the Castlecliff Community as a whole and the continued to support of an ongoing collaborative relationship with the Castlecliff community, in relation to the development and implementation of this reserve management plan. We support a Coastal Reserve Management/ stakeholder group. 2. The Trust believes there are direct links and mutual spins offs from looking at the Rejuvenation of Castlecliff Project, North Moles and Coastal Reserve Management Plan/Developments and the Port Revitalisation Programme together and should be looked at collectively when making decisions one way or the other. 3. We support that any opportunity for paid project development in the reserve comes from the local community e.g. employment opportunities from existing not for profit or social enterprise or businesses that leads to local short and local long term employment. 		
21a	Rhona Vickoce Wishes to speak in support of submission.	1. Real community involvement means volunteer labour, possibly cheap or free materials and real ownership of the work done to protect our beach environment. Timelines not just talk.		
Reserve Use				

16	Barre Cundle	1. Too many open spaces at the Reserve where houses could be built to keep rates down.	Castlecliff Coastal Domain (which is within the boundary of the Castlecliff Coastal Reserve) was gazetted for the purpose of providing a recreational reserve for the community. This land is not legally available for Council to use for other purposes. The land cannot be used for housing development. The issue of housing demand, and consequential impacts on rates, is being addressed separately by Council.	Not Accepted. The submission is appreciated and has been considered but no change is proposed.
18	Seton brown	1. The area should be more of a destination.	This is noted. Castlecliff Coastal Reserve is listed in the Council's Parks and Open Spaces Strategy as a Premier Park, therefore is identified by Council as a prime recreational destination within the District.	Accepted. The submissions are noted, and taken into consideration. On that basis, no change is proposed.
13	John Collinson	1. More could be done to the area to attract locals and tourists.		
29	Jan Pavarno	1. Keeping the reserve for the recreational use of people, including walking, running, dog walking, picnicking, kite flying and family activities needs to be the prime use of this area. This is not currently possible because of the use of vehicles.	This is the Council's intention, as the Reserve is classified as a recreation reserve under the Reserves Act 1977, meaning that the primary purpose is for recreational activities, such as walking and other passive activities. There is no intention to change the classification of the Reserve.	Accepted. The submissions are noted, and taken into consideration. On that basis, no change is proposed.
32b	Graeme La Cock and Sara Treadgold On behalf of DOC. Wishes to speak in support of submission.	1. The link of the foreshore to surfers, swimmers and fisherman doesn't feature strongly, and should.	This is noted. A comment will be made to Appendix 1 about the Reserve being popular for these recreational uses.	Accepted. The following changes are recommended: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Add a sentence under Appendix 1, Local Context "The Reserve is an especially popular attraction for surfers, swimmers and fishermen alike".
Vehicle and Pedestrian Access				
4	Andy Morgan	1. Would like to see restrictions or bans on two wheel motor bikes. 2. There needs to be a speed limit for the beach.	Through the pre- engagement and consultation process, it was identified early that vehicle access and speed on the Reserve is significant issue for stakeholders and the wider community. It was also evident that there is wide support to restrict or ban vehicles in and around the dunes and pedestrian areas. The Police are the only authority that can prosecute a moving vehicle, the Council has no authority to fine/prosecute. The beach is also considered a legal road.	Accepted in part. The following changes are recommended: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Add a Policy under Objective 5 "Prevent vehicle access to and through the dunes by the use of physical barriers and enforceable regulatory controls e.g. bylaws"
12	George Taylor Wishes to speak in support of submission.	1. Stop the destruction of the sand dunes by vehicles and horses. 2. Fence off the reserve opposite to seafront road with limited access.		

13	John Collinson	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 2. Use of dunes by motorbikes is both a social and environmental issue. Noise is a big issue with this too. 3. Although the beach is a road, there are people walking and playing sports etc. and this needs to be taken into account. 4. Designated areas for motor vehicles away from the main beach needs to be identified. 	<p>Currently, through the Council's Public Places, Parks and Reserves Bylaw 2016, unless authorised by a permit issued by Council, a person shall not drive a vehicle on any park or reserve. While Council has this provision in place, enforcement and monitoring is challenging.</p> <p>It is proposed to prohibit vehicles on and around the dune area. This would be achieved through erection of physical barriers to prevent vehicle access to dune pathways and other regulatory and enforcement measures as required.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Add an action 'Install physical barriers in and around the dunes to prevent vehicle access and allow for pedestrian access only'. • Add an action to the Action Plan 'Implement a speed limit on the beach via a Bylaw'.
15	Ian Bartlett	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Vehicles, particularly dirt bikes, damaging the environment and disrupting others using the open spaces of the reserve. 2. Believes an attitude change toward dunes , beach and recreational grounds should be promoted 3. That vehicles be limited to slow movements in confined areas. 4. The increasing traffic flow in whanganui coupled with dangerous speeds by some vehicle users on the beach and recreational zones may cause injury or harm , disturb residents right to enjoy their neighbourhood peacefully and undermine the WDC plans for an inclusive and family accessible place. 5. Would like vehicles excluded from the beach and dunes and access to recreational areas properly blocked to vehicles. 	<p>The Reserves Act 1977 provides Council the power to make bylaws to regulate activities within the Reserve, thus it is recommended that Council investigate the implementation of a speed limit via a bylaw. The Castlecliff Reserve Management Plan is not the mechanism to directly control vehicle access and speed on the beach as it cannot contain rules and therefore, regulate or enforce the matter.</p> <p>Although the Plan cannot directly control, speed or vehicle use violations, it identifies the issue (See Policy 5.1). The regulatory mechanism to control vehicle access and speed on the beach is a bylaw, and will be implemented separate to this Plan.</p> <p>In reference to bridging the Karaka Stream to create vehicle access at this end of the beach, this concept came from the ECoast report on dune management options. Council has no intention to adopt this concept at this time.</p> <p>Reference to the New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement 2010 has been passed on to the corporate policy team.</p>	
22	Pam Dahlberg	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The widespread use of very loud motorbikes - both day and night - down the track off Seafront Rd and along the beach - this is both extremely invasive and annoying but also dangerous. 		
21	Rhona Vickoce Wishes to speak in support of submission.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. There would be no need for conflicts, potential or otherwise if the Council would uphold its own bylaws. 2. No vehicles in the dunes or on the reserves. And of course to regulate the use of vehicles on the beach. 3. Dirt bikes and the presence of them in the dunes are dangerous to pedestrians. 4. Believes the Council are not upholding their own rules regarding vehicles in the dunes. 5. The beach is a road and should be regulated under the New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement 2010. This regulation should include a speed limit. 		

		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 6. Action 6 of the Action Plan would help to allow regulation of vehicles on the beach and would help existing bylaws. 7. Knee breakers or similar seem to work for other parts of Wanganui, why not here. 		
29a	Jan Pavarno	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Vehicles cause damage to the dunes, including deep tracks throughout the dunes causing blowouts. 2. The vehicles are a safety hazard to those on foot. 3. Vehicles often travelling at great speeds. 4. Supports the suggestion of banning vehicle access from the mole to the Karaka Stream. 5. It is Council's responsibility to monitor the complaints from vehicles on the Reserve not the Police, in terms of the signs prohibiting the use of unauthorised motorised vehicle use. 6. Supports the idea of bridging the Karaka Stream to create vehicle access at this end of the beach. 		
31b	Graham and Lyn Pearson Wishes to speak in support of submission.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Serious consideration needs to be given to the conflicts identified. 2. Buy-in from the whole community is necessary. 3. Policy 5 related to ensuring users comply with WDC Bylaws is not going to be fully effective. 4. Resolving the conflicts will take much work by all those interested in a wide range of recreational uses and those wanting adverse effects on the natural environment to be minimised. 5. Issue of motor bike and dune buggy riders who do not belong to a formal group as this makes communication hard. 		
32	Graeme La Cock and Sara Treadgold On behalf of DOC. Wishes to speak in support of submission.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Should have co-operation with Horizons Regional Council. 2. Reference to the New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement. 3. Vehicles driving across the Spinifex damages them, limiting the ability for them to help the dunes grow seaward. 4. Management of the vehicles goes hand in hand with the establishment of the fore dune in front of the carpark, and ongoing management. 5. Vehicles are not compatible with the objective to protect and enhance the natural environment. 		

34	Rude Tidemann	1. On behalf of the 403 people who signed the petition (copy of petition not provided), asks the Council to enforce their existing bylaws/laws relating to vehicle use on the Castlecliff Reserve. This includes speed control on the beach as per the national NZTA 2017 Guidelines.		
35	Des and Kim Noble	1. The dune area off Morgan Street has a lot of off-road vehicular traffic travelling over them and ripping the vegetation off the dunes, exposing the sand to the elements. 2. Would also like to see speed restrictions/ more speed bumps along the fishing platform/proposed mountain to sea route.		
35	Des and Kim Noble	3. Would like to see measures put in place to stop people dumping rubbish in the reserve area at the Mole/Morgan St. e.g. Cameras/closed off at night.	When the Mountains to the Sea cycle trail goes through the Reserve, the road between the North Mole to the fishing platform will be closed to vehicles. This should help alleviate issues of rubbish being dumped.	Accepted. The submission is noted, and taken into consideration. On that basis, no change is proposed.
21	Rhona Vickoce Wishes to speak in support of submission.	8. Making the district more walk and cycle friendly.	Council have the Active Transport Strategy 2017, which encourages the use of active transport (walking and cycling and scootering) in the District, and sets out the Council's strategic direction in regard to this for the next 10 years. This strategy aligns with the objectives of the Government's National Policy Statement on Land Transport towards sustainable and safe transport models, and addresses current and future transport demands. Evidence of Council's dedication towards active transport can also be seen in the Council projects, including the current construction of the Shared Pathway and the Mountains to the Sea cycle trail.	Accepted. The submission is noted, and taken into consideration. On that basis, no change is proposed.
18	Seton brown	1. A walkway should also go along the breach e.g. New Plymouth. Walkway could be where dunes are now.	Castlecliff Beach is considered a very dynamic beach, and any consideration or investigation of a boardwalk being established across dunes, or down to the beach would require significant resourcing. This is considered unlikely in the foreseeable future of term of this current management Plan.	Not Accepted. The submissions are noted, and taken into consideration. On that basis, no change is proposed.
24	Susan Shand	1. There should be a walkway leading down the short hill on the corner of Taupata Street and Karaka Street. 2. Properly formed walkways should be formed to avoid users having to use the muddy unformed tracks. 3. Florescent painted blocks that sit at the beach access way at the Seafront Rd / Ngaio St access are unsightly and a simple solid wooden barrier would be far more in tune with the environment.		
27	Dean Turner	1. Wooden boardwalk on the beach front to assist people to the high tide mark would be a great attraction.		

29b	Jan Pavarno	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Informal tracks throughout the dunes need to be maintained. Tracks down to the sea are a sensible and practical idea. 	While informal pathways are enjoyed by the public, they are discouraged due to the effect they can have on the dune system and associated plantings. Instead, the Plan has identified that formal walking tracks are preferred.	Accepted. The submission is noted, and taken into consideration. On that basis, no change is proposed.
Dogs				
31c	Graham and Lyn Pearson Wishes to speak in support of submission.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> The policy related to dogs needs a much wider range of pest control definitions, within which actions can be developed. Other animals are also a danger to the natural flora and fauna, such as rabbits and hares. 	This section of the Plan only deals with dogs, pursuant to the Dog Bylaw. Issues with pest animals/control is best dealt with under the Plant and Animal Pests section.	Not Accepted. The submission is noted, and taken into consideration. On that basis, no change is proposed.
Buildings, Structures and Facilities				
Buildings and Facilities				
3	Sean Tauroa	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> The skate park needs repairing as it is dangerous. There is a hole at the bottom of the deepest part of the bowl which could cause major injury. 	<p>Councils Property and Parks Department will continue to monitor the condition of the facility.</p> <p>The skate park is scheduled to be refurbished in the 2025 financial year.</p>	Accepted. The submission is noted, and taken into consideration. On that basis, no change is proposed.
11	Gregory Soar	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Shading is desperately needed in the Reserve, such as solid structures with tables and seats underneath. These would be good along the front of the surf lifesaving club building and on the raised carpark. 	<p>It is noted that due to the environment of much of the Reserve, trees and other large fauna that could be naturally used for shading are absent.</p> <p>The Council's Parks and Property Department will undertake a shade audit for the Reserve</p>	<p>Accepted. The submissions are noted. The following change is proposed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Add an action "Undertake a shade audit within the Reserve".
30	Judy McIntyre On behalf of the Whanganui Cancer Society. Wishes to speak in support of submission.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> The Council should undertake a shade audit of the playground area of the Reserve, and from that audit develop recommendations to increase shade for users of the park. There is no shade over any of the playground equipment. Notes the Councils Solar Protection Policy 2005, in reference to planting trees and building shade structures. Council needs to familiarise themselves with current shade practices, guidelines and recommendations. 		
21	Rhona Vickoce Wishes to speak in support of submission.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Need to upkeep the children's playground and the whole reserve to the standard of others in Wanganui. 	This is already addressed in actions 11, 14 and 23.	Accepted. The submissions are noted, and taken into consideration. On that basis, no change is proposed.

24	Susan Shand	1. Facilities and amenities of the reserve need to be maintained and enhanced for the purpose of encouraging community use.		
21	Rhona Vickoce Wishes to speak in support of submission.	2. Keep the toilets open at the playground as well as the Pavilion and the fishing platform at the Mole. This latter is simple public hygiene and one or the other and, at times, all 3 are often closed.	The Rangiora Street Toilets were closed for a period of time for necessary maintenance. They are now open.	Accepted. The submissions are noted, and taken into consideration. On that basis, no change is proposed.
22b	Pam Dyhrberg	1. The toilets at the park should be open - they seem to have been painted on the outside but are never open. A lot of families visit the park and should have access to these toilets.		
31d	Graham and Lyn Pearson Wishes to speak in support of submission.	1. Fishing platform and toilets are important and should be referenced in the Plan. 2. No mention of the water fountain and BBQ's in the play area, which are well used facilities. 3. Taps could be installed on the current water line to assist Castlecliff Coast Care with their planting and plant maintenance.	1. This is noted. The fishing platform and toilet block located at the North Mole will be referenced in the Plan. 2. This is noted. The BBQ facilities and drinking fountain in the children's playground area will be referenced in the Plan. 3. This is an operational matter that will be pursued with the Councils Parks and Property Department. It is not a matter for this Plan.	Accepted in part. The following changes are recommended: Add "children's play area with BBQ facilities and a drinking fountain " to list of facilities within the Reserve under Buildings, Structures and Facilities Objective 7. Add " Other facilities located at the North Mole are the fishing platform and the toilet block " to Objective 8.
36a	Des Warahi On behalf of Castlecliff Community Charitable Trust.	1. We support and believe in investment in community infrastructure for facilities such as a swimming area, recreational park and facilities for non – powered recreational craft for its community development, health & wellbeing, community connection to our river and potential economic benefits to the community.		Accepted. The submission is noted, and taken into consideration. On that basis, no change is proposed.
North Mole				
31e	Graham and Lyn Pearson On behalf of Castlecliff Coast Care.	1. No mention of the shared pathway to the North Mole having a link through Rangiora Street. Thought this was part of the plan, discussed at community meetings.	The Mountains to Sea cycle trail ends at the North Mole because the Mole is the first point of contact with the Tasman Sea as an iconic destination and end point that connects Mount Ruapehu to the Tasman Sea. Council intends to link a shared pathway to the Mountains to the Sea cycle trail to encourage connectivity. The link would extend from the North Mole, down Morgan Street, along Seafront Road	Accepted. The submissions are noted, and taken into consideration. On that basis, no change is proposed.

	Wishes to speak in support of submission.		and to Rangiora Street. This will enhance the connectivity of the cycle ways to Castlecliff, and gives cyclists an alternative route to return to town, rather than returning along the same cycle route.	
36b	Des Warahi On behalf of Castlecliff Community Charitable Trust	1. We support the shared pathway from the North Mole linking through to Rangiora Street and proposed planting plan as suggested at the last community meetings. We comment that there is missing is a lot of the information shared at the last community meeting held in Rangiora Street.		
8	Edward Charlton	1. Questions over why the Mountain to Sea National cycle way does not extend into Castlecliff Reserve.		
8	Edward Charlton	2. More promotion of the North Mole landscape needed. 3. The moles are the reason for the creation of most of the beach and new stretch of coastline, and submitter has attached a diagram showing the creation of the coastline from the moles.	Both the North and South Moles will be upgraded as part of the Accelerate 25 project (the Whanganui-Manawatu Regional Growth Study) to revitalize the port area in Whanganui. Works will be undertaken in partnership between Horizons Regional Council and Whanganui District Council. Whanganui District Council will contribute 25% of the cost as outlined in the Long Term Plan 2018-2028. This will ultimately result in more promotion.	Accepted. The submission is noted, and taken into consideration. On that basis, no change is proposed. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Add explanation of upgrade into the Plan under North Mole Objective 8 “Both the North and South Moles will be upgraded as part the Accelerate 25 project (the Whanganui-Manawatu Regional Growth Study) to revitalize the port area in Whanganui”.
10a	Graham Hill Wishes to speak in support of submission.	1. Not enough concern regarding the state of the North and South Moles. 2. Many of the recommendations from the 2005 plan have been carried over to the 2018 plan and have not yet been actioned, such as signage and pedestrian and vehicle access. 3. Morgan street ongoing maintenance costs. Access to the Mole for Fishing, Surfing and recreation could be solely through an improved road (currently a rough track) running alongside the mole.	1. Both the North and South Moles will be upgraded as part the Accelerate 25 project (the Whanganui-Manawatu Regional Growth Study) as part of government funding to revitalize the port area in Whanganui. Works will be done in a partnership between Horizons Regional Council and Whanganui District Council. Whanganui District Council will contribute 25% of the cost as outlined in the Long Term Plan 2018-2028. This will ultimately result in more promotion. 2. Recommendations and actions that have not been completed in full since the 2005 Plan have been carried over, where they are confirmed as a future priority for Council. Implementation of these actions are indicated in priority order in the Action Plan with a timeframe and are reasonably identified to manage expectations and accommodating to the community. 3. As part of the Mountain to Sea Trail, the area of the Reserve near the North Mole will be upgraded to include the cycle way path. Maintenance of the Morgan Street Entrance will be continued for the foreseeable future.	Accepted in part. The submission is appreciated and has been considered but no change is proposed.

17	Matt Edmonds Wishes to speak in support of submission.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. How do WDC intend to address the Harbour Board owned land when it clearly should be looked after by this Reserve Management Plan? 2. Area from just north of the surf club to Kai Iwi (and beyond). How does this area tie into this plan when it seems it clearly should be part of this 3. Port Area - how does this area tie into the plan when it clearly seems it should? 4. South Beach to Turakina River mouth. How does this area tie into the plan when clearly it should 5. River Entrance to Tongariro 6. A clear Coastal Management Plan needs to be overarching. What is the intention? 	<p>This Plan is prepared under section 41 of the Reserves Act 1977, which states that it is a requirement to have a management plan for gazetted reserves within the Councils jurisdiction. The gazetted Reserve area (Castlecliff Domain Recreation Reserve shown on page 8 of the Reserve Management Plan) is the only area which is required to have a management plan, however the area has been extended to include land north of the gazetted Reserve, and south which includes some of the Former Harbour Endowment Land. This is because within a coastal environment, it is necessary to consider the wider environment within the management regime, however extending the Reserve too widely could compromise the effectiveness of the Plan.</p> <p>Aside from the boundary extending seaward due to accretion, the boundary of the Reserve has been set since the 1994 Castlecliff Coastal Reserve Management Plan. At this time it was considered that management of the land just outside the gazetted Reserve for recreation purposes, in conjunction with the gazetted Reserve was deemed to be the most efficient and effective.</p>	Not Accepted. The submission is noted, and taken into consideration. On that basis, no change is proposed.
20	Graham Dyhrberg Wishes to speak in support of submission.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Council should include the South Beach in future planning of coastal activities as it would be cost effective for infrastructure maintenance and would allow more appropriate use of the beaches for the wide range of activities currently present at Castlecliff. 		
32d	Graeme La Cock and Sara Treadgold On behalf of DOC Wishes to speak in support of submission.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. If the management of a fore dune for the length of the reserve is a priority, the mole is one site where current infrastructure (the carpark) would need to be reconsidered. 	There is no intention to establish a fore dune for the length of the Reserve.	Not Accepted. The submission is noted, and taken into consideration. On that basis, no change is proposed.
Car Parking				
10	Graham Hill	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Option of abandoning the lower carpark and shifting the surf lifesaving club building and the Duncan Pavilion to the upper carpark, with a provision of a walking slope down to the beach for residents and lifeguards. 2. Doesn't agree with the cost of shifting sand. 	<p>There are no immediate intentions to cease clearing sand in the lower carpark.</p> <p>Vehicle access to the beach needs to be provided to the beach for (rather than simply a walking slope) emergency vehicles such as those used by the surf lifesaving club.</p>	Accepted. The submission is noted, and taken into consideration. On that basis, no change is proposed.
13a	John Collinson	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The usefulness of the lower carpark and pavilion need to be evaluated. As it is functional, but austere and unattractive, as there is too much concrete. 		

22a	Pam Dyhrberg	1. The beach and carpark should continue to be cleaned up on a regular basis - not only shows some measure of care but also showcases, and makes accessible.		
20a	Graham Dyhrberg Wishes to speak in support of submission.	1. The low fore dune will not work and clearing should continue to provide access and sea views for the public.	Council has begun exploring options to enhance the amenity and restore a degree of naturalness to the Rangiora Street beach area, including giving consideration (as demonstrated in the Plan) to allowing a fore-dune to re-establish seaward of the Duncan Pavilion and Surf Lifesaving Club buildings. While the idea of establishing a fore dune in front of the Rangiora Street Carpark is a longer term aspiration, the Council's Parks and Property Department have stated that it is not considered practical at this time, and options that have been investigated thus far require significant resourcing. Further investigations are considered unlikely in the short to medium term, unless significant external resources are secured and more technical work is undertaken.	Accepted. The submission is noted, and taken into consideration. On that basis, no change is proposed.
29c	Jan Pavarno	1. Establishing a dune in front of the Rangiora Street carpark is a sensible and practical option.		
31f	Graham and Lyn Pearson Wishes to speak in support of submission.	1. Developing natural fore dunes using sand binding plants is well recognised. 2. Stop the so called "grooming" of the beach, which in fact results in the whole area being covered in wood splinters Replace with dunes instead. 3. Would not reduce the car park area, as it is proposed to establish the dunes forward of the lower car park.		
32e	Graeme La Cock and Sara Treadgold On behalf of DOC Wishes to speak in support of submission.	1. The value of a dune in front of the surf lifesaving club cannot be underestimated. It will improve the defensive function of the dunes, and minimise the amount of sand that has to be removed from the carparks. 2. May be worth investigating whether there is enough room to establish the dune in front of the existing carpark if it is too costly to remove.		
Signage				
14a	Tess Marryatt	1. Signage to show where the informal pathways through the dunes are would be great.	While informal pathways are enjoyed by the public, they are not actively encouraged due to the effect they can have on the dune system and associated plantings. Instead, the Plan has identified that formal walking tracks are preferred. It has been noted from this submission however that signage to show where pedestrian access routes are is important. As formal pathways are more encouraged, these should be identified by signage. Action 9 in the Action Plan is related to installing wayfinding signage in the Reserve. When informal paths have been identified as appropriate, these can be signposted also.	Accepted in part. The following change is recommended: Add a policy that outlines providing signage at the formal pedestrian access routes: • 'Provide clear signage to indicate the formal pedestrian routes to the beach and through the dunes'.

4b	Andy Morgan	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Signs informing only 4 wheel drive on the beach at the end of the Seafront Road Track after the dunes. 2. Signs on the beach about “looking out” for fellow beach users and being considerate when using the beach. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. It is acknowledged that there is a lack of informative and directional signage for vehicles on the beach. Erection of signs to indicate formal access points and routes and to indicate speed limits would reduce potential safety issues as raised by this submitter. 2. Action 9 will be amended to include the installation of ‘informative’ wayfinding signage at entrances and other key locations in the Reserve. 	<p>Accepted in part. The following change is recommended:</p> <p>Add a policy that outlines providing clear signage at the access to the beach.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Amend Action 9 to include the word ‘informative’ in list of signs to be installed.
21a	Rhona Vickoce Wishes to speak in support of submission.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The signs are outdated, incorrectly placed, falling apart and generally ignored. 	It is acknowledged that the signage within the Reserve needs to be repaired/ updated. While other signs in the Reserve are being installed, the need to replace other signage will be addressed also.	Accepted. The submission is noted, and taken into consideration. On that basis, no change is proposed.
31g	Graham and Lyn Pearson Wishes to speak in support of submission.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Could have signs with QR codes, such as used by the Coastal Restoration Trust of NZ for education on natural aspects. 2. Educational material specific to the situation at Castlecliff Beach and dunes could be made into a booklet for distribution. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Action 8 will be amended to include natural values. 2. Action 4 refers to investigating options for Coastcare and community education by liaising with Horizons Regional Council to explore avenues of funding. 	<p>Accepted in part. The following change is recommended:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Amend action 8 to include ‘natural values’

Natural Values

Dune Management (Previously Dune Stability)

1a	Tania Pirikahu	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Disagreed with objective 6 – stabilising the dune system. 	<p>While dunes are a dynamic system that undertake movements as part of their natural cycle, the planting of vegetation has been proven to be effective in mitigating excessive erosion. In this regard, this section of the Plan refers to sand stabilisation, rather than referring to attempting to stabilise the entire dune field itself. Of note, the 2005 Plan included ‘Dune Stability’ as a section and topic of discussion.</p> <p>Erosion, whilst part of the natural dune life cycle, can be exacerbated by human activity and it is important to help prevent this where possible. It is noted from the submissions that this intent may not be as clear in the Plan as intended.</p> <p>It is proposed to change the objective of this section to reflect the intent of stabilising the dunes to help prevent further erosion from a range of sources, including human induced, which is not part of the natural cycle.</p>	<p>Accepted in part. The following changes are recommended:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Amend the name of the Section to ‘Dune Management’. • Amend the wording of Objective 11 to ‘To undertake sand stabilisation to help prevent further erosion, whilst providing for compatible recreational activities in the Reserve’.
31h	Graham and Lyn Pearson Wishes to speak in support of submission.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Unsure how a dynamic dune system can be stabilised. 		
21b	Rhona Vickoce Wishes to speak in support of submission.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. It's surely not possible to stabilise a dynamic system (which dunes are), the point is rather to leave them alone apart from planting to enhance their formation and avoid blowouts as much as possible. 		

32f	Graeme La Cock and Sara Treadgold On behalf of DOC. Wishes to speak in support of submission.	1. A dynamic dune system cannot be stabilised; it allows erosion and movement as part of the natural cycle of dunes.		
31i	Graham and Lyn Pearson Wishes to speak in support of submission.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Poor description of the fore and rear dunes, their functions and differing plants required at each location The section fails to recognise and define the range of coastal vegetation that exists in Castlecliff, and how these interact with dune stability. Main issue for the Spinifex on the fore dunes is human induced damage. A WDC policy of moving large driftwood logs into the blow outs to stop further damage and encourage sand deposition would significantly assist recover. Blow outs are common in the mid dunes, because of age, close proximity to the sea, and vehicle damage. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> A brief explanation of the rear, mid and fore dunes will be inserted under the section 'Dune Management' taking into account the information provided by the submitter. This issue was raised by other submitters under the 'Biodiversity' section of the plan. As a result, an appendices referencing the flora found at Castlecliff Reserve will be inserted as Appendix 4. This is noted. There is an intention that vehicle access will be appropriately managed. This has been passed onto the Parks Department and any investigation or consideration would need to be undertaken separate of this process. This is noted. 	<p>Accepted in part. The following changes are recommended:</p> <p>The following text will be inserted under the "Dune Management" section</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 'The Reserve's dune field comprises of rear, mid and fore dunes, all of which have distinctive flora and fauna. The foredunes, which are naturally advancing seaward, have extensive spinifex plantings which help trap sand with their running roots. There are very few areas of mid dunes, and they are susceptible to blow outs because of their close proximity to the sea and vehicle damage. The rear dunes have varied vegetation, including marram grass and wattle'.
32f	Graeme La Cock and Sara Treadgold On behalf of DOC. Wishes to speak in support of submission.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Castlecliff has some of the best Spinifex dunes in the country. Castlecliff Coastal Management Strategy by Ed Atkin does not seem to have influenced this proposed plan. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> This is noted. The Strategy prepared by Ed Atkin on behalf of ECoast is an environmental restoration strategy that has been provided to council as a technical options report. It has not been adopted by Council as a project. While the ECoast report is acknowledged in the Plan through Action number 15, it will not be implemented as part of the Castlecliff Coastal Reserve Management Plan. Further investigations and implementation of options identified in the ECoast report are considered unlikely in the short to medium term, unless significant external resources are secured and more technical work is undertaken. 	Accepted. The submission is noted, and taken into consideration. On that basis, no change is proposed.
18b	Seton brown	1. Dunes should be flattened and a grass area right out towards the beach with picnic tables.	The dunes form an important part of the coastal ecosystem, and are vital to the long term management of the Reserve and neighbouring properties.	Not Accepted. The submission is noted, and taken into consideration. On that basis, no change is proposed.

Karaka Wetland				
20b	Graham Dyhrberg Wishes to speak in support of submission.	1. Council is not maintaining their open drain within the Karaka Wetland.	The Councils Senior Stormwater Engineer confirms that to date, Council has fulfilled its obligations as agreed in the Karaka Wetland Enhancement Plan.	Accepted. The submission is noted, and taken into consideration. On that basis, no change is proposed.
31j	Graham and Lyn Pearson Wishes to speak in support of submission.	1. Implementation of the enhancement and management plan for the Karaka Wetlands has stalled. 2. The wetlands are slowly changing, naturally being dynamic in nature. Until there is recognition and understanding of this, the implementation of the enhancement and management plan will probably remain stalled.		
Landscaping and Planting				
29d	Jan Pavarno	1. There are very few plants that could be planted in the dunes that can tolerate the harsh conditions at Castlecliff.	This is noted, and taken into consideration when planting species within the Reserve.	Accepted. The submission is noted, and taken into consideration. On that basis, no change is proposed.
31k	Graham and Lyn Pearson Wishes to speak in support of submission.	1. The fore dunes and rear dunes should be recognised for their natural values and the Norfolk pines should be recognised for their historical or landscape rather than natural values.	Norfolk pines perform very well in the coastal conditions. While not native, they have natural value as a large tree that manage to grow in the extreme coastal environment.	Accepted. The submission is noted, and taken into consideration. On that basis, no change is proposed.
Groynes				
28	Vaughan Coveny On behalf of Wanganui Boardriders Club. Wishes to speak in support of submission.	1. Wants to see Groynes established at intervals along the beach north of the North mole. 2. Benefits to community; <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Slows down sand flow towards north mole. • Creates safer, shallower sea floor. • Lessens wave energy. • Slows and helps minimise the traffic flow on the beach. • Will create new environment for sea life. • Reduces coastal erosion. 3. Wants one Groyne established at the southern end of the Rangiora Street car park first, and be monitored for 12 months before moving on to the other three installations annually. Proposed locations are between Morgan and Rangiora Street, north end of the top carpark at Rangiora Street,	Approximately 10 years ago the Council arranged for Coastal Scientist Dr Jeremy Gibb (now retired) to meet with representatives of the surf board riders club about the request to build low concrete reefs to promote better surf. Mr Gibb was able to explain that this area is a very high energy and dynamic coastline with many strong forces at work, including a substantial lateral drift. The construction of the Moles starting in the late 1800s has meant that Morgan and Castlecliff Beaches have benefited from substantial accretion, but this has now stabilised. The Council's Manager of Property Projects has indicated that further investigation of the option would require significant work. The first step to contemplate any work on the beach would be to consult a coastal scientist with knowledge of the Whanganui Coast to discuss: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. What are the objectives of any work and what does success look like. b. The physical options to achieve the objective. c. All the likely effects on the environment. d. The consent process required and the stakeholders requiring direct engagement. e. Indicative costs. f. Define the advantages to households in the community. 	Not accepted. The submission is noted, and taken into consideration. On that basis, no change is proposed.

		<p>north end of the creek at Karaka Wetlands, and northern end of Longbeach drive towards kai iwi.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> The Groynes should use quarry rock sourced locally. Has attached a petition with 36 signatures in support of submission. 	<p>Council does not currently have the available resources for undertaking any such investigation or research into the option.</p>	
Biodiversity				
32g	<p>Graeme La Cock and Sara Treadgold</p> <p>On behalf of DOC.</p> <p>Wishes to speak in support of submission.</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Plan needs more detail on the biodiversity values, such as the importance of the habitat provided by the fore and rear dunes. Terrestrially there are plant herpetofauna and invertebrate values, including several species that are threatened or at risk. 	<p>In alliance with Castlecliff Coast Care, work has been undertaken within the sand dunes to remove invasive species and replace with more suitable native planting. The intention would be to continue this programme in an effort to restore historical damage to the biodiversity that has progressively been caused over many years.</p> <p>There is a different approach within the amenity areas and it may be appropriate to use native, or non-native species as there are other priorities for those areas. Whilst native species may be used, it is not intended to be done exclusively, or indeed necessarily restricted to those species endemic to this part of New Zealand.</p>	<p>Accepted. The following change is recommended;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Add Appendix 4 - Wanganui Plant List 4, Vascular Plants of Castlecliff Beach Reserve, Wanganui by Colin Ogle. Add Appendix 5 – Information on the Native Fauna in the Reserve (information provided by Sara Treadgold from DOC).
31l	<p>Graham and Lyn Pearson</p> <p>Wishes to speak in support of submission.</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> There is preliminary information available to assist in the formulation of policies 14.1 and 14.2, particularly with regard to identification of areas which could be the focus of increased biodiversity. Examples of flora and fauna found in the coastal dune area has been recorded by Castlecliff Coast Care members on the website inaturalist NZ. The flora on the rear dunes varies greatly. Because native fauna and flora components are already on site, a low impact approach to site preparation is our recommendation. 	<p>While the Plan can discuss Biodiversity values, and list those values that's are important in the Reserve, it is not the correct mechanism for setting out actions for its management or long term restoration beyond what the Council is required to do under relevant Regional Council documents. To ensure there is an inventory of the native flora found in the Reserve, a new appendices will be added that lists all of the flora that is found in the Reserve. This list has been provided by both Sara Treadgold and Graham and Lyn Pearson, and was included in the 2005 Plan.</p> <p>Information regarding the native fauna will also be inserted as Appendix 5.</p>	
Plants and Animal Pests				

31m	<p>Graham and Lyn Pearson</p> <p>Wishes to speak in support of submission.</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. HRC Regional Pest Animal Strategy suggests their focus is on Rooks, Goats and Possums. 2. Suggest a more local site specific policy would achieve better results. 3. Suggest a good starting point is "Wanganui Plant List 4, Vascular Plants of the Castlecliff Beach Reserve, Wanganui May 2003. 4. Do not believe boneseed is a pest within the Reserve. 	<p>Responsibility for pest plant management in the Castlecliff Reserve area is currently shared between Horizons Regional Council and Whanganui District Council. Whilst under the Horizons' Regional Pest Management Plan, local authorities are responsible for the identification, monitoring and control of plant pest species highlighted in the Horizons Regional Council Regional Pest Management Plan 2017-2037. Certain species are kept under review by Horizons due to the practicality of treatment and identification of areas affected.</p> <p>Whilst certain areas have been highlighted for regular treatment and control, there is flexibility within a specific Approved Management Plan, submitted to Horizons Regional Council to respond to new sites or increased infestations as necessary.</p> <p>The requirements of the local authority are clearly outlined in the RPMP however Horizons are currently working through a new Memorandum of Understanding to cover general relationship issues, exemptions and other mechanisms that can be employed to best manage plant pest species in our District.</p> <p>Under the Horizons Regional Council Regional Pest Management Plan 2017-2037, Boneseed is listed as a mapped progressive containment pest plant, and the Reserve is identified as an active management zone in this respect. Horizons Regional Council's weed management maps show the area to the south of Duncan Pavilion to the North Mole as potentially a site for Boneseed. This area is inspected and treated by Horizons regional Council as required.</p>	<p>Accepted. The submission is noted, and taken into consideration. On that basis, no change is proposed.</p>
32h	<p>Graeme La Cock and Sara Treadgold</p> <p>On behalf of DOC.</p> <p>Wishes to speak in support of submission.</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. There could be an opportunity to have predator control here to protect native lizard and shore and sea birds. 2. Believe the plan needs its own strategy to deal with weeds such as the sand acacia and possibility hedgehogs. 	<p>In terms of pest animal species, under the Horizons Regional Council Regional Pest Management Plan 2017 – 2037, wallabies, possums, rabbits and rooks are listed as species that must be controlled to varying levels, with varying responsibilities. Specifically for Castlecliff, the only likely species to be an issue is rabbits, however these are listed under 'Sustained Control' with shared responsibilities with Horizons Regional Council. This means that the requirement is to manage the species to a level where externality of the impacts are manageable. Whilst there are rabbits in the dunes, there are generally minimal wider impacts of their presence. If they are seen to be causing substantial damage, management would then be required.</p> <p>Strategies to deal with pest control are more effectively dealt with by Resource Management Act 1991 controls, such as Regional Policy Plans and Statements. Relevant case law states that a management plan is not a document that can create any obligations or rules in respect of the Resource Management Act planning regime. In a technical sense it is not a "statutory planning document" although it might be a relevant document for consideration by a consent authority under the Resource Management Act. A reserve management plan is not the repository for all the regulatory powers that the Council might want to apply to a particular reserve.</p>	

Administration and Management				
Leases, licences, permits and maintenance				
1b	Tania Pirikahu	1. Disagreed with objective 8 - allowing for occupation of the reserve.	The Council does not own all buildings within the Reserve, so does not necessarily control who uses or leases them. Buildings in the Reserve are intended to be for the use and enjoyment of the community, such as the Surf Lifesaving Club which contributes to the safety of those who use the beach to swim. The Council has no plans to introduce additional leases or licences, nor is there any plan to erect any new buildings within the Reserve.	Not accepted. The submission is noted, and taken into consideration. On that basis, no change is proposed.
21c	Rhona Vickoce Wishes to speak in support of submission.	1. Query over what the approved uses of the Reserve are in regards to objective 16.	There is no definitive list, as it would depend on what came up and whether it was suitable for the area. This would have some general criteria and would be determined by the Council's Parks and Property Department. The District Plan also lists 'Permitted Activities' that are allowed in the Reserve, under Chapter 8, Reserves and Open Space Zone.	Accepted. The submission is noted, and taken into consideration. On that basis, no change is proposed.
31n	Graham and Lyn Pearson Wishes to speak in support of submission.	1. Understanding is that Progress Castlecliff have a 'management contract' with the Council for the Duncan Pavilion, not a lease.	The management contract referred to at the time of this submission had expired, and it is anticipated to be replaced with a lease agreement. The specifics of leasing agreements are not a matter for a strategic planning document.	Accepted. The submission is noted, and taken into consideration. On that basis, no change is proposed.
36c	Des Warahi On behalf of Castlecliff Community Charitable Trust.	1. To continue to allow for the occupation of the reserves for approved uses and facilities by the granting of a lease, licence or permit and acknowledging the work of these groups including past, present and future funding accessed for voluntary work within the Reserve.	This is noted. It is the intention of the Council to continue to uphold the working relationships it has with the community, including in relation to the use of the facilities in the Reserve.	Accepted. The submission is noted, and taken into consideration. On that basis, no change is proposed.
Action Plan				
31o	Graham and Lyn Pearson Wishes to speak in support of submission.	We believe that the development of any action plan should involve people with: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Extensive knowledge and experience of dune restoration work. • DOC Staff. • Knowledgeable locals. • Retired DOC Botanist, Colin Ogle. • Horizons Regional Council. Numbers below pertain to the action number in the Plan. 2 – Culture and Heritage	While dune management is a topic of this Plan, decisions around dune restoration work is not being dealt with by this Plan, and will be undertaken as a separate process as indicated by action number 15. 2 – This has been passed on to the Parks Department for investigation and consideration. 3 – This is addressed in actions 8 and 9. 4 – Noted. 5 – The Rangiora Street toilets are now open after a period of required maintenance. 6 – Noted.	Accepted. The submission is noted, and taken into consideration. On that basis, no change is proposed.

		<p>There is broken glass and rubbish around the pill boxes that need removal. The pillboxes being included as part of regular clean ups undertaken by the Council would be most welcome.</p> <p>3 – Culture and Heritage Would welcome further signage and the installation of interpretive panels, explaining the types and significance of the coastal vegetation. The history is also important in understanding how the coastal area has changed and developed.</p> <p>4 – Community groups and Partnerships Coast Care would like to be part of the further development of partnerships and funding opportunities.</p> <p>5 – Reserve Use It is a major concern that the toilet facilities at the Playground have been unavailable for many months.</p> <p>6 – Pedestrian and Vehicle Access This is a key issue for the further development of the coastal area. Much thought and community input is required to achieve successful outcomes.</p> <p>7 – Buildings and Facilities Refer tor for action number.</p> <p>10, 11 and 12 - The playground and skate park are major amenities for the youth and families of Castlecliff and Whanganui). Maintaining them in good condition is vital for the community.</p> <p>13 – North Mole We are looking forward to the North mole upgrade.</p> <p>14 – Parking We suggest the re-sealing work is evaluated in terms of cost benefit before any work is carried out.</p> <p>15 – Parking</p>	<p>7 – As per response number 5 above.</p> <p>10, 11, 12 – This is already addressed in actions 11, 14 and 23.</p> <p>13 – Noted.</p> <p>14 – Noted.</p> <p>15 – Any additional planting works will need to be discussed with the Parks Department, separate of this process.</p> <p>16, 17 – Noted.</p> <p>18, 19, 20 – The establishment of any group or organisation is beyond the intent of the Reserve Management Plan, and could be achieved separate of this process.</p> <p>21 – Noted.</p> <p>22 – This has been passed on to the Parks Department for investigation and consideration.</p>	
--	--	--	--	--

		<p>Action the planting of sand binder plants forward of the car park as soon as possible to reduce sand blowing into the carpark.</p> <p>16 , 17 – Signage This should be actioned as soon as possible.</p> <p>18, 19 and 20 - Dune Stability, Plant and Animal Pests Build on the Castleclif Coast Care work already being undertaken. Investigate setting up a group under the Pest free NZ Program, which will provide access to free resources.</p> <p>21 – Leases, Licences and Permits Extend the management contract for the Duncan Pavilion and increase the management fee paid by the Council.</p> <p>22 – Review and Monitor Ensure that community groups are involved in the annual review. Also present reports at meetings as part of the annual review.</p>		
--	--	--	--	--

Appendices

31p	Graham and Lyn Pearson Wishes to speak in support of submission.	1. More expenditure should be allocated to the Reserve, including catch up expenditure.	<p>It is not currently Council's intention to allocate any extra funds to the maintenance and management of the Reserve.</p> <p>Queries in relation to the allocation of extra expenditure would need to be raised under the Annual Plan/Long Term Plan processes.</p>	Not accepted. The submission is noted, and taken into consideration. On that basis, no change is proposed.
-----	--	---	--	---